INTRODUCTION

HIV continues to be a major global public health issue, having claimed more than 36 million lives so far. At the end of 2012, there were approximately 39 million people living with HIV. Out of these approximately 90% of people belong to low and middle income groups and an estimated 14.2 million people in these countries required HAART (highly active antiretroviral therapy). Monitoring of disease activity in HIV/AIDS and response to antiretroviral therapy is dependent on measurement of CD4 count as a gold standard method. CD4 count is an expensive test and not all of the people living with HIV have ready access to it. In 2002 WHO guidelines suggested that total lymphocyte count (TLC) could serve as a surrogate for CD4 count but the later guidelines didn’t endorse it as a standard test to monitor disease activity and response to treatment.

Total lymphocyte count (TLC) is an easy alternative to monitor disease activity in resource limited society as it is readily available and in our study we evaluated it as an alternative to CD4 count, so that active monitoring of HIV should be done in resource limited societies such as ours. This is both, easy to carry out and economically beneficial in poor countries such as Pakistan.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This cross sectional study was carried out at HIV/AIDS treatment centre, PIMS hospital, Islamabad. Data for this study was collected from September 2008 to April 2013. A total of 774 HIV infected patients were included in the study for measurement of CD4 and total lymphocyte count (TLC). HIV seropositive individuals were diagnosed based on HIV antibody ELISA test and confirmed by western blot.

For each study subject, blood samples for CD4 cell count and TLC were collected in sterile vacuum tubes. TLC was measured by an automated analyser. The CD4 cell count was done by using FAC Scan techniques. Correlation between CD4 cell count and TLC was evaluated using Pearson’s correlation coefficient (r).

The data was analysed by using SPSS version 19.3. For all statistical analysis the level of significance was set at p<0.01.

RESULTS

The mean CD4 count was 434.30±269.23, with minimum CD4 count of 9.00, and maximum of 1974.00. The mean TLC was 6764.0052±2364.02 with minimum TLC of 1200.00 and maximum TLC of 20200.00. We compared means of CD4 count and TLC by using one sample t-test. With t values of 44.87 for CD4 count and 79.60 for TLC. Using this test values which fell within 95% of confidence interval (CI) for CD4 were (415–453) and those for TLC were from 6697–6980. Using these values the scatter plot which we obtained is given below.

Simple linear regression using ANOVA model yielded r² change of 0.127 and F change of 111.9. Using the Pearson’s correlation there was a
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significant and positive correlation between TLC and CD4 count. \( r^2=0.127 \) and \( p=0.000 \) at 0.01 level of significance.

**DISCUSSION**

HIV/AIDS has become an important health problem of national level in Pakistan. An important dilemma to understand in this context is that it is overwhelmingly common in poor people and intravenous drug abusers (IDU), who are living far below the poverty line. Pakistan is already a resource constrained society and diseases such as HIV/AIDS, by virtue of their diagnostic and management difficulties are a major burden on our economy and most of the poor and illiterate people cannot afford to have costly tests done to diagnose and monitor disease activity and response to ARTs.

In this resource limited settings TLC has emerged as a useful surrogate to CD4 count to monitor disease activity. WHO in 2002, suggested that TLC can be used to monitor disease activity in HIV/AIDS but subsequent guidelines didn’t endorse it because some studies didn’t show significant correlation between these two variables. However significance of TLC as a surrogate to CD4 count in resource limited societies is of utmost importance because in countries like Pakistan most of the people affected by this disease are living far below poverty line and in our society most of the affected people are intravenous drug abusers who had been abandoned by their families and are considered a social stigma in our society. This population subset is entirely dependent on government resources for their diagnosis and management of HIV/AIDS and in these settings it becomes imperative to devise means of cheap diagnostic modalities to diagnose and monitor disease activity in these poor patients.

Many studies in literature have shown significant positive correlation between CD4 count and TLC and this appears to be stronger for patients with advanced disease.\(^4\)\(^-\)\(^7\) some studies have also described that despite of less reliability of TLC as a surrogate for CD4, TLC is an important tool in absence of expensive equipment to measure CD4.\(^8\)

In the literature, studies correlating CD4 count and TLC showed variable results. Studies having high degree of sensitivity and specificity, concluded that total lymphocyte count was good surrogate to CD4 count to predict eligibility for initiation and monitoring response to antiretroviral therapy (ARVs).\(^9\)\(^-\)\(^15\) while other studies didn’t conclude TLC as a suitable surrogate marker to CD4 count in HIV/AIDS.\(^16\)\(^-\)\(^18\).

**CONCLUSION**

Our study showed a significant positive correlation between CD4 count and TLC, so TLC can be used as a marker of disease activity in HIV infected patients.
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