ORIGINAL ARTICLE OUTBREAK OF DENGUE FEVER IN LAHORE: STUDY OF RISK FACTORS

Fatima Mukhtar, Mohammad Salim*, Arsalan Farooq**

Department of Community Medicine, Lahore Medical & Dental College, *Ayub Medical College, Abbottabad, **North Medical Ward, Mayo Hospital, Lahore, Pakistan

Background: Dengue fever is a rapidly emerging arthropod born viral disease threatening to become an international public health problem. Approximately 500,000 people suffer from dengue haemorrhagic fever and dengue shock syndrome with 20,000 deaths annually. Objective of this study was to look into the risk factors associated with the development of dengue fever. **Methods:** This crosssectional descriptive study was conducted on patients admitted in various hospitals of Lahore with suspected Dengue fever. Data was collected on a questionnaire from 109 conveniently selected patients. **Results:** Mean age of the patients was 34 ± 16.5 years, and majority (80, 73.4%) were male. Seropositivity for dengue was found in 78 (71.5%). The study did not find significant statistical associated with dengue seropositivity (p=0.002). **Conclusion:** Source of water supply was found associated with dengue fever owing to it a breeding media for mosquitoes. All other phenomena associated with use of water and sanitation needs to be part of long term control of dengue that will also contribute to controlling other diseases with the same determinants.

Keywords: Dengue fever, dengue haemorrhagic fever, dengue shock syndrome, water sources, epidemic

INTRODUCTION

Dengue is a fast spreading arthropod borne viral disease associated with a significant public health impact. Dengue fever had a sporadic distribution in the 19th century and nine countries around the world reported dengue epidemics in the year 1970. Since then the global epidemiology of the disease has changed rapidly. Today approximately 500,000 patients suffer from dengue haemorrhagic fever (DHF) and dengue shock syndrome (DSS), whereas, 20,000 succumb to the disease annually. The disease is endemic in 100 countries with 25 million people at risk in the tropical and sub tropical regions.¹ The global burden of the disease is suspected to parallel that of malaria and tuberculosis, imposing grave economic challenges for communities and governments.²

In Pakistan, the first serologically confirmed case of dengue fever was reported in the city of Karachi in 1994.³ The numbers of cases have subsequently increased from 4,500 cases reported in Karachi in 2005 to 21,204 cases in the country in 2010. Lahore alone confirmed 14,000 cases and 300 deaths from dengue fever in 2011. Some people believe that these figures do not depict the actual burden of disease in the country, the true burden being more than reported.⁴

The aetiological agent of dengue fever is the dengue virus having four distinct but antigenically related serotypes. Infection with one serotype does not provide immunity against the other serotypes, rather puts the individual at a greater risk to develop DHF and DSS if the infection is contracted subsequently.¹ Severe manifestations of the disease are also influenced by the age of the patient and his genetic predisposition.⁵

In addition to the increasing severity of the disease, it is also becoming more explosive in nature. Many factors have been identified for this pattern of the disease. Some of them include a lack of political will to prevent and control the disease, paucity of funds to implement preventive strategies, unavailability of piped water supply, increase in international travel, and the lack of effective solid waste management favouring the unchecked growth of the larval habitats.⁶

In order to design and employ effective preventive and control strategies against the disease, it is necessary to identify the risk factors of the disease prevailing in the country to have targeted approach. This study was conducted to determine the risk factors associated with dengue fever in admitted patients during dengue epidemic.

METHODOLOGY

This cross-sectional descriptive study was carried out during the autumn 2011 at various public and private hospitals of Lahore. Approval of the hospital administration was obtained before approaching the patients. Patients were selected through convenience sampling, admitted in medical wards with suspected dengue fever. Data was collected from the patients after obtaining informed consent. Where the age was less than 15 years, informed consent was obtained from respective parents/guardians. Confidentiality of information was assured and ensured. Critically ill patients were excluded from the study. A pre-tested questionnaire was used to collect the data about demographic variables, presence of empty plot/piece of land/pond around the house, location of house, screening of windows, empty containers in house,

source of water supply, type of dengue contact, patients' usage of mosquito coils/nets/repellents, water pans for animals around the house, patients contact with dengue patient; and presence of co-morbidities like hypertension, allergy, asthma, and diabetes, laboratory test results.

The authors themselves collected the data which was analysed using SPSS-16.0.

RESULTS

A total of 109 patients admitted in the various hospitals of Lahore with a suspected dengue fever were studied. The mean age of the subjects was 34 ± 16.5 years with a range 5–80 years, and 80 (73%) were male. Seropositivity (IgM) was found in 78 (72%) of the patients. Most of the patients (76%) were from 16 to 50 years. As shown in Table-1, the study did not find significant association between dengue fever and gender, educational qualification, occupation, marital status and age. The factor associated with a higher risk of dengue fever was identified as source of water supply i.e. piped water vs. water storage vessels (p=0.002) (Table-2)

| IgM Status | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------|-------|--|--|--|
| | Negative Positive | | Total | | | | |
| Demographics | (n=31) | (n=78) | (n=109) | р | | | |
| Age group | | | | | | | |
| Up to 15 | 0 (0.0%) | 8 (100.0%) | 8 | | | | |
| 16-30 | 12 (24.5%) | 37 (75.5%) | 49 | | | | |
| 31-50 | 11 (32.4%) | 23 (67.6%) | 34 | 0.088 | | | |
| 51-65 | 7 (53.8%) | 6 (46.2%) | 13 | | | | |
| Above 65 | 1 (20.0%) | 4 (80.0%) | 5 | | | | |
| Patients gender | | | | | | | |
| Male | 22 (27.5%) | 58 (72.5%) | 80 | 0.718 | | | |
| Female | 9 (31.0%) | 20 (69.0%) | 29 | 0./18 | | | |
| Income Group (Ru | | | | | | | |
| No Income | 11 (26.2%) | 31 (73.8%) | 42 | | | | |
| up to 5000 | 3 (18.8%) | 13 (81.2%) | 16 | | | | |
| 5001 to 10000 | 9 (30.0%) | 21 (70.0%) | 30 | 0.843 | | | |
| 10001to 25000 | 5 (38.5%) 2 (33.3%) | 8 (61.5%) 4 (66.7%) | 13 | 0.845 | | | |
| 25001to 50000 | 2 (33.3%) | 4 (66.7%) | 6 | | | | |
| More than 50000 | 1 (50.0%) | 1 (50.0%) | 2 | | | | |
| Marital status | | | | | | | |
| Married | 20 (31.2%) | 44 (68.8) | 64 | | | | |
| Un-married | 20 (23.3%) | 44 (76.7%) | 64 | 0.529 | | | |
| Divorced/widowed | 1 (50.0%) | 1 (50.0%) | 2 | | | | |
| Occupation | | | | | | | |
| Housewife | 5 (29.4%) | 12 (70.6%) | 17 | | | | |
| Student | 2 (16.7%) | 10 (83.3%) | 12 | | | | |
| Vocational | 10 (40.0%) | 15 (60.0%) | 25 | | | | |
| Labourer | 3 (16.7%) | 15 (83.3%) | 18 | | | | |
| Professional | 2 (16.7%) | 10 (83.3%) | 12 | 0.268 | | | |
| Business | 4 (36.4%) | 7 (63.6%) | 11 | | | | |
| Farmer | 1 (100.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 1 | | | | |
| Others | 1 (100.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 1 | | | | |
| None | 3 (25.0%) | 9 (75.0%) | 12 | | | | |
| Educational Status | | | | | | | |
| Illiterate | 9 (21.4%) | 33 (78.6%) | 42 | | | | |
| Primary | 0 (0.0%) | 6 (100.0%) | 6 | | | | |
| Middle | 4 (40.0%) | 6 (60.0%) | 10 | | | | |
| Matriculation | 14 (48.3%) | 15 (51.7%) | 29 | 0.120 | | | |
| Intermediate | 1 (16.7%) | 5 (63.3%) | 6 | 0.120 | | | |
| BA | 1 (11.1%) | 8 (88.9%) | 9 | | | | |
| Masters | 1 (33.3%) | 2 (66.7%) | 3 | | | | |
| Postgraduate | 1 (25%) | 3 (75%) | 4 | | | | |

| Table-2: | Seropositivity | (IgM) | and | risk | factors |
|-----------|----------------|---------|-----|--------|----------|
| I abit 2. | Scropositivity | (15111) | ana | 1 1011 | inclui 5 |

| | JOSITIVITY (| Status | | | |
|-----------------------|--------------|---------------|-----------|--------|--|
| | negative | positive | Total | | |
| Risk Factors | (n=31) | (n=78) | (n=109) | р | |
| History of Hypertens | | (••) | () | ſ | |
| Yes | 5 (38.5%) | 8(61.5%) | 13 | | |
| No | 26 (27.1%) | 70(72.9%) | 96 | 0.393 | |
| History of Diabetes | | | | | |
| Yes | 6 (50%) | 6(50%) | 12 | 0.070 | |
| No | 25 (25.8%) | 72(74.2%) | 97 | 0.079 | |
| History of Asthma | | | | | |
| Yes | 2 (50.0%) | 2(50.0%) | 4 | 0.330 | |
| No | 29 (27.6%) | 76(72.4% | 105 | 0.550 | |
| History of Allergy | | | | | |
| Yes | 3 (60.0%) | 2(40.0%) | 5 | 0.109 | |
| No | 28 (26.9%) | 76(73.1%) | 104 | | |
| Empty plot/ piece of | | | d the hou | se | |
| Yes | 13 (22.0%) | 46(78.0%) | 59 | 0.107 | |
| No | 18 (36.0%) | 32(64.0%) | 50 | 0.107 | |
| location of house | | | | | |
| Near open market | 10 (28.6%) | 25(71.4%) | 35 | | |
| Near a public park | 8 (33.3%) | 16(66.7%) | 24 | 0.807 | |
| Near a green area | 13 (26.0%) | 37(74.0%) | 50 | | |
| Screening of window | | | | | |
| Yes | 19 (30.2%) | 44(69.8%) | 63 | 0.642 | |
| No | 12 (26.1%) | 34(73.9%) | 46 | 0.042 | |
| Empty containers in | | | | | |
| Yes | 6 (20.7%) | 23(79.3%) | 29 | | |
| No | 25 (31.6%) | 54(68.4%) | 79 | 0.438 | |
| unknown | 0 (0.0%) | 1(100.0%) | 1 | | |
| Source of water supp | | | | | |
| piped water | 25 (24.8%) | 76(75.2%) | 101 | 0.002* | |
| water storage vessels | 6 (75.0%) | 2(25.0%) | 8 | 0.002 | |
| Type of dengue conta | | | | | |
| household member | 4 (17.4%) | 19(82.6%) | 23 | | |
| sex partner | 2 (25.0%) | 675.0%) | 8 | 0.433 | |
| Other | 2 (20.0%) | 8(80.0%) | 10 | | |
| NA | 23 (33.8%) | 45(66.2%) | 68 | | |
| Patients usage of mos | | nets/repellen | | | |
| Yes | 13 (24.1%) | 41(75.9%) | 54 | | |
| No | 18 (33.3%) | 36(66.7%) | 54 | 0.463 | |
| unknown | 0 (0.0%) | 1(100.0%) | 1 | | |
| Water pans for anim | | | 10 | 1 | |
| Yes | 6 (31.6%) | 13(68.4%) | 19 | 0.739 | |
| No | 25 (27.8%) | 65(72.2%) | 90 | 5.107 | |
| Patients contact with | dengue patie | | | 1 | |
| Yes | 8 (19.5%) | 33(80.5%) | 41 | 0.109 | |
| No | 23 (33.8%) | 45(66.2%) | 68 | | |

DISCUSSION

Dengue has emerged as the most widely and rapidly spreading disease. The World Health Organization (WHO) fears it to become an international public health concern in the absence of appropriate and effective interventions.⁷ The present study was conducted in the public and private hospitals of Lahore to determine the risk factors associated with dengue fever. Selected through convenience sampling, 109 patients admitted in the hospitals of Lahore with suspected dengue fever were studied. Among these, 78 (72%) patients were confirmed by positive serology (IgM) to have the disease. Majority of the patients were males and were in the age category of 16 to 50 years. A study conducted in Saudi Arabia by Khormi et al⁸ showed similar results stating that individuals between the age of 16 and 60 vears were more affected by dengue fever. The risk of infection was seen to increase with advancing age according to a study in Brazil.9 This study showed no

significant statistical association between the gender and seropositivity to dengue. The seroprevalence of dengue fever was found to be the same among males and females according to Duncombe *et al.*¹⁰ However according to a study by Montenegro¹¹ there was a predominance of male gender among the 14 patients being studied who died of dengue fever. This could be attributed to small sample size.

The present study looked at the association of dengue fever with source of water supply, i.e., piped water and water storage vessels. There was strong association (p < 0.05) of dengue fever with piped water supply. However, results of a cohort study carried out in Vietnam are in variance to our study. They identified the absence of tap water to be strongly associated with dengue fever. This absence of tap water supply was assumed as a proxy for vector breeding site in their study. The other major source of water supply in their study population was open wells.¹² Our results revealing piped water supply to be risk for dengue fever as opposed to water storage vessel could be attributed to a small number (8 out of 109) of respondents using water storage vessels. In addition, detail about the source of water in the storage vessels was not obtained in this study nor was information regarding the vessel being covered or open.

Studies conducted around the world have identified numerous risk factors of dengue fever. Having mosquito larvae in water containers and the presence of a garden near the house were identified as factors associated with a high risk of dengue fever by a study conducted in Vietnam.¹³ Taro farming and having water pans for animals in and around the house were highlighted as risk factors in Palau, Wetern Pacific.¹⁴ In contrast our study didn't find any significant association between dengue fever and empty plot or pond located around the house, location of house, empty containers in the house, and water pans for animals around the house.

The presence of co-morbidities such as diabetes and allergies has been suggested as risk factors of the disease.¹⁵ Our study showed no significant association between dengue fever and history of co-morbidities. A case control study conducted in Singapore found patients having hypertension and diabetes to be at a greater risk of dengue haemorrhagic fever.¹⁶

CONCLUSION

Use of water is a determinant of contracting dengue fever owing to it a breeding media for mosquitoes. All

other phenomena associated with use of water and sanitation needs to be part of long term control of dengue that will also contribute to controlling other diseases with the same determinants.

REFERENCES

- Dengue Fever World Health Organization Fact Sheet No.117. 2009. Available from: http://www.who.int/mediacentre/ factsheets/fs117/en/.
- Lloyd LS. Environmental health project: Best practices for dengue prevention and control in the Americas. Strategic report 7.Washington DC: Organizacion Panamericano de la salud; 2003.
- Jahan F. Dengue fever in Pakistan. Asia Pac Fam Med 2011;10:1. doi: 10.1186/1447-056X-10-1.
- 4. Khan E, Hasan R. Dengue Infection in Asia; A Regional Concern. J Postgrad Med Inst 2011;26:1–6.
- Khan H. Wake-up: dengue epidemic is at the door step. Gomal J Med Sci 2011;9:143–4.
- Erlanger TE, Keiser J, Utzinger J. Effect of dengue vector control interventions on entomological parameters in developing countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Med Vet Entomol 2008;22:203–21.
- World Health Organization. Dengue: Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment, prevention and control. Geneva: WHO and Tropical Disease Research; 2009.
- Khormi HM, Kumar L. Modelling dengue fever risk based on socioeconomic parameters, nationality and age groups: GIS and remote sensing based case study. Sci Total Environ 2011;409(22):4713–9.
- Braga C, Luna CF, Martelli CM, De Souza WV, Cordeiro MT, Alexender N, *et al.* Seroprevalence and risk factors for dengue infection in socio-economically distinct areas of Recife, Brazil. Acta Trop 2010;113:234–0.
- Duncombe J, Lau C, Weinstein P, Aaskov J, Rourke M, Grant R, et al. Seroprevalence of dengue in American Samoa, 2010 [letter]. Emerg Infect Dis 2013;19:324–6.
- Montenegro D, Lacerda HR, Lira TM, Oliveira DS, Lima AA, Guimarães MJ, *et al.* [Clinical and epidemiological aspects of the dengue epidemic in Recife, PE, 2002]. [Article in Portuguese]. Rev Soc Bras Med Trop 2006,39:9–13.
- Schmidt WP, Suzuki M, Thiem VD, White RG, Tsuzuki A, et al. Population density, water supply, and the risk of dengue fever in Vietnam: cohort study and spatial analysis. PLoS Med 2011;8(8):e1001082.
- Phoung HL, De Vries PJ, Bhooshuyar C, Binh TQ, Nam NV, Kager PA. Dengue risk factors and community participation in Binh Thuan Province, Vietnam, a household survey. Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health 2008;39:79–89.
- Ashford DA, Savage HM, Hajjeh RA, Mcready J, Bartholomew DM, Spiegel RA, *et al.* Outbreak of dengue fever in Palau, western Pacific: risk factors for infection. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2003:69(2):135–40.
- Figueiredo MA, Rodrigues LC, Barreto ML, Lima JW, Costa MC, Morato V, *et al.* Allergies and diabetes as risk factors for dengue hemorrhagic fever: results of a case control study. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 2010;4(6):e699.
- 16. Pang J, Salim A, Lee VJ, Hibberd ML, Chia KS, Leo YS, *et al.* Diabetes with hypertension as risk factors for adult dengue hemorrhagic fever in a predominantly dengue serotype 2 epidemic: a case control study. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 2012;6(5):e1641.

Address for Correspondence:

Dr. Fatima Mukhtar, Department of Community Medicine, Lahore Medical & Dental College, Lahore, Pakistan. **Residence:** 7 Aziz Bhatti Road, Lahore Cantt., Lahore, Pakistan. **Fmail:** fatimamukhtar@doctor.com

Email: fatimamukhtar@doctor.com