FREQUENCY AND TYPES OF BODILY MEDICO-LEGAL INJURIES IN A RURAL AREA

Nighat Seema, Iftikhar Ahmad, Salim Mughal*, Delawar Khan, Omair Khan Department of Forensic Medicine, Ayub Medical College, Abbottabad, *Postgraduate Medical Institute, Lahore, Pakistan

Background: The primary objective of the study was to know the types and frequency of the bodily medico legal injuries in a rural setup. **Methods:** Data was retrospectively collected by selecting 55 cases consecutively. Data of injury types was analysed. **Results:** The number of injured males in this study was 48 (87.3%) while the females were 7 (12.7%). The most common type of injuries were *shajja* (45.9% among males and 71.4% among females). **Conclusions:** Our study shows relatively true picture of frequency and types of injuries. It was observed that male population is more prone to violence than females owing to the conservative life style of women in our society.

Keywords: bodily medico-legal injuries, *Shajja*

INTRODUCTION

Hurts, wounds or injuries are of different varieties, they mostly are result of assaults, by definition one can understand the meaning of assault, which means the offer of threat, or the application of force to the body of an other in angry or hostile manner, while when the assaults are brought to completion then the term is called battery, that means that some one has actually applied force to the body of another one, and have caused hurt. 1-3 Hurt is defined as whoever causes illegally to a person any harm, pain, disease, infirmity, injure or disable or dismember any organ or part of the body of some one is said to have caused hurt to that person.² On the other hand, in the current situation any criminal act of anyone against the other individual is now investigated first by the society to establish the fact of injury which is defined as any harm illegally caused to a person in body, mind, reputation, and property. Wound is said to the solution or disruption of anatomical continuity of tissue of the body externally or internally.1

In all theologies, e.g. Muslims and Christians, human beings are regarded as creation of God, no one can have any right to deprive a human of his freedom, capabilities or his life and this principle is respected by all laws made by different societies.³

In the early stages of humanity, the human beings use to retaliate individually when ever they were interfered functionally or physically in life or their life was threaten and they use to retaliate in groups against groups, but the modern man due to improved intellect and development of civilisation, and understanding of things does not retaliate at personal level, rather retaliation is shifted at the level of society.

In the present time the law presumes after investigation that the aggressor is responsible for his act and, on the proof, he is punished for his wrong doing. But if it is proved that his wrong act was due to the defect in intellect or due to disease of mind or administration of an intoxicant involuntarily then he is

not held responsible due to such facts. Anyone who administers criminal force or intoxication to another one and restrain him/her from his freedom and assault him/her to injure or kill, is made punishable proportionately to the harm brought to the victim.³

The development of criminal law to its present stage passed through various stages. First in the primitive stage the rule was might is right, and the victim used to revenge the aggressor himself. Then the stage of standing of society was adopted, but the revenge was not in proportion to the harm done to the victim. Life used to be taken in case of death, eye in case of eye. But now the things have changed and the factors responsible to force the aggressor to assault or the factors in determining the responsibility have been recognised and punishments are scaled in accordance with degree of responsibility.³

The law to deal with the criminal offences such as physical assault resulting in wounding or killing was an English law in our country which was repealed by the *Qisas and Diyat* Ordinance 1991 amended in 1997, and this brought it in conformity with injunction of Islam as laid down by Quran and Sunnah.²

The law prefers the word Hurt to use in spite of wound. So *Qisas and Diyat* has introduced a new classification of hurt and beside this the law has provided compensation for the suffering of victims in Pakistan for the first time, the provision for compensation are *Arsh, Daman, Diyat,* and *Qisas*. These terms are defined as under:²

Arsh: compensation specified in law, to be paid by the offender to the victim or his heirs.

Daman: compensation specified by the court, paid by the offender to the victim or his heirs.

Diyat: compensation for causing death which is specified by law, payable to the heirs of victim by the offender.

Qisas: Qisas means punishment by causing similar hurt at the same part of the body of the convict as he has

caused to the victim or by causing his death if he has committed *qatl-i-amd*, in exercise of the right of the victim or a *wali*.²

Qisas has to be executed in the presence of a medical practitioner, who can assess the extent of hurt, so that the qisas should be equal to the assault. The assaults causing wound and injuries are legally hurts by

the offenders, and all the bodily hurts are medically injuries. They have local and general effect on the body, the local effect is wound while the general effects are the sign and symptoms.^{4,5} The hurts according to the *Qisas* and *Diyat* ordinance are classified into various types which are tabulated in Table-1.

Table-1: Types of injuries and defination²

	Subtypes of Bodily	
Types of injuries	Injuries	
A. Shajjah		Hurt on head or face which does not amount to itlaf-i-udw or itlaf-i-salahyiat udw
	1. Khafifah	Hurt without exposing the bone
	2. Mudihah	Exposing the bone without fracture
	3. Hashimah	Fracturing the bone without dislocation
	4. Munaqqilah	Fracturing bone with dislocation
	5. Ammah	Fracturing skull and wound touch the membrane
	6. Damighah	Fracturing skull and membranes ruptured
B. Jurh		Hurt on part of body other than head and face which leave mark permanently or temporarily
2. Jaiffah		Wound entering the body cavity of trunk (chest or abdomen)
3. Ghayr-i-Jaiffah		Jurh not amounting to jaifa
	1. Damiyah	Rupturing of skin with bleeding
	2. Badiah	Cutting of flesh without exposing the bone
	3. Mutalahimah	Lacerating the flesh
	4. Mudihah	Exposing of bone
	5. Hashimah	Fracture without dislocation of bone
	6. Munaqilah	Fracture with dislocation of bone
4. Others/miscellaneous		
	1. Itlaf-i-udw	Causing of dismemberment, amputation, or severement of any organ or limb of body
	2. Itlafe-i-slaheyat-e-udw	Disfiguring or destruction of function or capacity of organ permanently
	3. Dislocation of any joint	
	4. Injury threatening life	
	5. Injury without skin	
	damage (bruise)/others	

The physical assault causes wound or injury on the body, and the nature of injury depend upon the weapon of offence, nature of tissue involved and the execution of force, the injuries are classified as under:

- i) Mechanical injuries
- ii) Transportation injuries
- iii) Thermal injuries including chemical and radiation injuries

The mechanical injuries are further divided into: 6-8

- i) Abrasions
- ii) Bruises
- ii) Lacerations
- iii) Incised wounds
- iii) Stab wounds
- vi) Fractures
- iv) Firearm injuries

These injuries are further divided in to subgroups, and the duration of these injuries can be approximately assessed by the necked eye appearance, microscopic examination, and enzyme histochemical and chemical methods but, the assessment by necked eye is usually adopted in most of the medico legal centres, due to short duration of injuries. ⁹⁻¹¹

MATERIAL AND METHODS

A medico-legal centre of a Tehsil headquarter level in District Mansehra in northern Pakistan was selected. Fifty-five consecutive cases of injuries were included in the study. The data for this case series was retrospectively collected. A proforma was developed on which data was entered from such registers which were available as records for court matters. Data was analysed using SPSS-16.0.

RESULTS

From the records of the said Medico-Legal Cases, 55 recorded cases were selected, 48 (87.3%) were males and 7 (12.7%) females. The mean age of the males was 36.92±14.00 and that of females was 43.29±10.11. The frequency of males getting injured was at higher rate.

Out of total 55 injuries, 28 had no *Shajjah* type of injury. Total victims of *Shajjah* were 27 (49.1%) out of which 22 (81.48%) were males having *shajjah* and 5 (18.52%) were females.

Jurh Jaifah had the lowest frequency among the types and only one victim was recorded. Jurh ghyre jaifah was having frequency of 94.44% in males, and 5.5% in females. The other types of injuries were 41 (87.2%) in males and 6 (12.8%) in females. These injuries were common in types and frequency.

Among the subtypes of Shajjah the most common in our study was shajjah-e-mudihah which

was 15 (27.3%) and the second most common was *shajjah-e-khafifa* (10, 16.4%), Table-1.

Jurjaifa has no further subtypes and only one victim of this type was found. Ghayr-i-Jaiffah had the subtype Damyiah as the most frequent type in subtypes and the Mutalahema as the least frequent one. Damyiah was 7 (87.5%) in males and 1 (12.5%) in females, while the total number was 44.4% of the total, (Table-2).

Among the victims of other type of injuries the most frequent type was Bruises 43 (91.5%), out of which 37 (86.0%) were in males and 6 (14.0%) were in females. There were only 2 cases of *itlaf-e-udw* and 2 cases of any other type in male only, (Table-3).

Table-1: Gender-wise further types of Shajjah

Types of Shajjah	Male Number (%)	Female Number (%)	Total
Khafifa	6 (27.27)	4 (80.0)	10 (37.03)
Mudihah	14 (63.63)	1 (20.0)	15 (55.55)
Hashimah	1 (4.55)	0	1 (3.70)
Damigha	1 (4.55)	0	1 (3.70)
Total	22	5	27

Table-2: Gender-wise further types of Ghayr-i-Jaiffah

Types of	Male (n=17)	Female (n=1)	Total (n=18)
Ghayr-i-Jaiffah	Number (%)	Number (%)	Number (%)
Damiyah	7 (87.5)	1 (12.5)	8 (44.4)
Badiyah	3 (100)	0	3 (16.7)
Mutalhama	2 (100)	0	2 (11.1)
Munaqilah	5 (100)	0	5 (27.8)
Total	17 (94.44)	1 (5.55)	18 (100)

Table-3: Gender-wise distribution of other injuries

Types of other	Male (n=41)	Female (n=6)	Total (n=47)
injuries	Number (%)	Number (%)	Number (%)
Itlaf-i-udw	2 (100)	0	2 (4.25)
Joint dislocation	2 (100)	0	2 (4.25)
Bruises	37 (86.0)	6 (14.0)	43 (91.5)
Total	41 (87.2)	6 (12.8)	47 (100)

DISCUSSION

In the present study data was retrospectively collected from the available records in a rural health facility. Cases were consecutively selected spread over a period of four months. The results showed that males are more likely to be involved in such cases compared to females. Our results are comparable with other national level studies.¹² This is not unexpected in a

male dominated society like ours. Males frequently confront each other in disputes leading to violent physical contacts and ultimately injuries. In a study conducted in an urban area of Lahore, 85.44% were male and 14.56% were female. The mean age of males in our study was 36.92±14.0 while that of females was 43.29±10.1 year. In the study at Lahore, 62.91% of people were of age around 30 years. In our study with respect to type of injuries, 22 males and 5 females suffered from Shajjah. Victims of jurh ghayre jaifa included 17 (94.44%) males and 1 (12.5%) female. The results are reflecting almost true situation of our society. These results are comparable with a study by Tajammal N et al. 12

CONCLUSION

It is concluded from the results that the common type of injuries in our study were *shajjah*, and *jur-ghyr-e-jaiffa*, followed by a variety of other injuries. The females were less prone than males to such injuries.

REFERENCES

- Simpson K, Knight B. Forensic Medicine. London: Edward Arnnold Publisher Ltd: 1985.
- Qisas and Dyat Ordinance 1991, amended 1997. Government of Islamic Republic of Pakistan.
- Awan NR. Principles and practice of Forensic Medicine, 1st edition. Lahore: Sublime Arts; 2004.
- Mason JK. (ed.) The Pathology of Violent Injury, 2nd edition. London: Edward Arnold; 1994.
- Di Maio D, Di Maio V. Foresic Pathology. New York: Elsevier; 1985.
- Shepherd JP, Shapland M, Pearce NX, Scully C. Pattern, severity and aetiology of injuries in victims of assault, R Soc Med 1990 b:83:75–8.
- Knight B. The Post-Mortem Technician's Handbook: A Manual of Mortuary Practice. Oxford: Blackwell Scientific Publications; 1984.
- Camps FE. Recent advances in forensic pathology. London: J & A Churchill Ltd; 1969.
- Camps FE. (ed.) Gradwohl's legal Medicine, (2nd edition). Bristol UK: John Wright & Sons Ltd; 1968.
- Walls HJ. Forensic Science: An introduction to scientific crime detection. (2nd Edition). London: Sweet & Maxwell; 1974.
- Boorman KE, Dodd BE, Lincoln PJ. Blood Group Serology: Theory, Techniques, Practical Applications, (5th edition). Edinburgh: Churchill Livingstone; 1977.
- Tajammul N, Chaudhry TH, Hanif S, Bhatti MA. Profile of Medicolegal cases at Jinnah Hospital Lahore. Ann King Edward Med Coll 2005;11:332–5.

Address for Correspondence:

Dr. Iftikhar Ahmed, Department of Forensic Medicine, Ayub Medical College, Abbottabad, Pakistan. **Cell:** +92-300-5613983 **Email:** doctor_ia@yahoo.com