# ORIGINAL ARTICLE EFFICACY OF INTRA-ARTICULAR KETOROLAC AND LIGNOCAINE ON POST-OPERATIVE PAIN RELIEF AFTER ARTHROSCOPIC KNEE SURGERY

# Auon Shabbir Khan Niazi<sup>1</sup>, Mubashar Ahmed Bajwa<sup>1</sup>, Midhat Zahra<sup>1</sup>, Muhammad Uzair Khan Niazi<sup>2</sup>, Irta Zainab<sup>3</sup>, Adnan Anwer<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Combined Military Hospital, Rawalpindi, <sup>2</sup>Foundation University Medical College, Rawalpindi, <sup>3</sup>CMH Multan Institute of Medical Sciences, Multan-Pakistan

**Background**: Arthroscopy of the knee is preferably done under spinal anaesthesia. The optimal analgesia for effective postoperative pain control is important to permit early discharge, comfort and mobility of the patient. Objective of the study is to assess the efficacy of ketorolac and lignocaine administered intra-articularly for postoperative pain following knee arthroscopic surgery. **Methods:** A total of 133 patients were randomized into two groups with one group receiving intra-articular Ketorolac and the other group receiving intra-articular Lignocaine. Postoperative pain was then assessed using the Visual Analog Scale (VAS) at 4, 8, 12 and 24 hours after surgery. **Result:** Both the groups had effective analgesia at 4 hours. The best analgesia was seen in the group that received Ketorolac Intra-articularly and it was found statistically significant. **Conclusion:** Administration of intra-articular Ketorolac injection is safe and effective way of achieving postoperative pain relief after arthroscopic knee surgery.

Keywords: Ketorolac; Lignocaine; Intra-articular injection; Spinal anaesthesia; Knee arthroscopy

**Citation:** Niazi ASK, Bajwa MA, Zahra M, Niazi MUK, Zainab I, Anwer A. Efficacy of intra-articular ketorolac and lignocaine on post-operative pain relief after arthroscopic knee surgery. J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad 2022;34(2):273–8.

# INTRODUCTION

Arthroscopy is a routine procedure in orthopaedic centers that can either be diagnostic or therapeutic. It may be performed in Local anaesthesia, spinal anaesthesia or regional anaesthesia. Postoperative pain control is essential to allow early mobilization and return to daily activities. Apart from other analgesics this can also be achieved either with intraarticular administration of lignocaine or intraarticular ketorolac.1 Since the use of Intra-venous painkillers has adverse effects on the renal system.<sup>2</sup> Thus, use of Intra-articular painkillers was done. Moiniche et al stated that Lignocaine gives short term relief from postop pain  $(4 \text{ hours})^3$ , while Gupta et al found that combination of painkiller such as Ketorolac and morphine has effective control on postoperative pain up to 24 hours<sup>4</sup>. Ketorolac, a nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug, has proven to give good analgesic effect when given intraarticularly.<sup>5</sup> The exact mode of action of NSAIDs is not known, it is believed that they act by modulating peripherally acting pain mediators or even acting via the central nervous system.<sup>2,6–8</sup>

The objective of the study is to determine efficacy of Ketorolac as compared to Lignocaine on post-operative pain relief in arthroscopy of knee done under spinal anaesthesia. A similar study has been carried out by Huey-Ping *et al*<sup>1</sup> in University Hospital, Orebro, Sweden in 2005 in which procedure was carried out in the Outpatient Department under local anaesthesia. To find out the results in our local settings under spinal anaesthesia; the study was done.

# **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

This study was randomized control trial. The study was approved by hospital ethics committee and verbal informed consent was obtained from the patients that fit the inclusion criteria. 133 patients in the age group of 18 to 48 were scheduled for the surgery and interviewed from February 09 to August 20, 2019. Two groups of 66 (57 males and 9 females) and 65 (61 males and 4 females) patients were made and the effects of the drugs were recorded. Preoperative baseline of Visual Analog Scale was obtained for all (0= no pain, 1= low pain, 2= mild pain, 3= uncomfortable pain, 4= moderate pain, 5= 6= distressing distracting pain, pain. 7 =unmanageable pain, 8= intense pain, 9= severe pain, to 10= worst imaginable pain) at rest. The anaesthetist then gave the spinal anaesthesia and procedure was performed. At the end of the procedure, one of the following drugs was administered intra-articularly in a random manner: Group I: ketorolac 30 mg (1 ml) + 4 ml 0.9% saline; Group II: Lignocaine 2% (5 ml). The effect of spinal anaesthesia itself wears off usually after 3 hours; therefore VAS score was recorded at 4, 8 and 24 hours post-operatively for each patient. If the level of pain of the patient was at VAS 6 or above, the patient was given intravenous Paracetamol 1g/100ml. Patients were discharged the next day when they had adequate mobility and no signs of gastrointestinal disturbance, on oral medications on a compound (Orphenadrine Citrate 50mg + Paracetamol 625 mg).

Patients between ages 18–50 without any gender discrimination having torn ligaments or menisci of knee joint diagnosed on MRI, who were recommended arthroscopic surgery of knee after having anaesthesia fitness were included in the study.

Patient having co-morbid, history of previous surgery, history of substance abuse, history of drug allergy, or any other contraindication to the drugs used in study were excluded.

Collected data was analysed using SPSS version 26.0. The variation in intensity of pain from preoperative baseline was analysed by appropriate statistical tests. On yielding a definite result, One Way ANOVA test determined the groups that had significant variation from each other. The analgesic medications used postoperatively were compared using chi-square test.

## RESULT

Table-2 shows that the majority of the patients ranged from 19 to 32 years that is 72.7 % (total percentage of the 2 age groups). The most pain felt by Group I was up to level '6' on Visual Analog Score (VAS) pertaining to be 'Distressing Pain' after 8 hours. The pain significantly reduced with time as shown in Table-3.

It is evident from Table-3 that the pain level of the patients went on decreasing with the passage of time. The maximum level of pain recorded for the patients was '6' that were very few.

Table-4 summarizes the *t-test* results for the level of pain difference on gender base at different time. It indicates that the level of pain felt was of equal level for both male and female patients. The results were statistically insignificant as shown in the table below:

Table-5 presents ANOVA results for the level of pain difference among different age groups. The results yielded statistically significant result at 24 hours on usage of Injection Ketorolac (p=0.041).

The Group II patients receiving Injection Lignocaine also had the majority of male patients (93.8%) as shown in Table-6 with majority of patients ranging in age from 19-32 years (72.3%) as shown in Table-7.

Table-6 shows that level of pain observed by the patients were up to level '8 & 9' on Visual Analog Score (VAS) who received Injection Lignocaine at 8 and 12 hours. This shows less effectiveness of the drug.

Table-7 indicates that there was difference feeling of pain among male and female patients after 12- and 24-hours interval and were statistically significant. Table-8 shows that level of pain among different level of age group were felt same was statistically significant (p=0.012) only for Pain relief at 4 hours.

Gender	Number of resp Group I l	onse rate (n=66) Ketorolac	Number of response rate (n=65) Group II Lignocaine			
	Frequency	Percentage (%)	Frequency	Percentage (%)		
Male	57	86.4	61	93.8		
Female	9	13.6	4	6.2		
Total	66	100.0	65	100		

 Table-1: Frequency distribution with respect to "Gender"

Table-2: Freque	icy distribution	with resp	ect to "Age"
-----------------	------------------	-----------	--------------

Tuble 2.1 requency distribution with respect to rige									
	Number of resp	onse rate (N=66)	Number of response rate (N=65)						
Age	Group I	Ketorolac	Group II	Lignocaine					
	Frequency	Percentage (%)	Frequency	Percentage (%)					
19–25 Years	20	30.3	14	21.5					
26–32 Years	28	42.4	33	50.8					
33–39 Years	15	22.7	15	23.1					
40 Years and Above	3	4.5	3	4.6					
Total	66	100.0	65	100					

## Table-3: Descriptive statistics and frequency distribution group I Ketorolac

Variables	Percentage response rate (N=66)												
v al lables	NP	LP	MP	UP	MOP	DP	DIP	UMP	IP	SP	WPP	Mean	SD
Pain Level After 12 Hours	28	21	9	3	1	3	1	0	0	0	0	1.1061	1.4156
Pain Level After 8 Hours	15	15	15	5	6	8	2	0	0	0	0	2.0606	1.7878
Pain Level After 12 Hours	1	30	12	11	8	4	0	0	0	0	0	2.1061	1.3142
Pain Level After 24 Hours         19         18         7         17         2         3         0         0         0         0         1.6061         1.4452													
Note: NP=No Pain, LP=Low Pain, MP=Mild Pain, UP=Uncomfortable Pain, MOP=Moderate Pain, DP=Distracting Pain, DIP=Distressing													
Pair	ı, UMP	=Unm	anageab	le Pain	, IP=Intens	se Pain,	SP=Seve	ere Pain, Wl	PP=W	orst Po	ssible Pain	L	

1.6061

Table-4: Independent sample t-test with respect to gender							
Variables	Gender	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	F	Sig.	
Pain Level After Four Hours	Male	57	1.1404	1.38149	.339	.562	
Talli Lever After Four flours	Female	9	.8889	1.69148			
Dain Level After Fight Hours	Male	57	2.0175	1.80763	.120	.730	
Taili Level After Eight Hours	Female	9	2.3333	1.73205			
Dain Level After Twelve Hours	Male	57	2.1404	1.30163	.219	.642	
Talli Level Alter Twelve Hours	Female	9	1.8889	1.45297			
Pain Level After Twenty Four Hours	Male	57	1.5789	1.43859	.000	.993	
Tam Level And Twenty-Tour Hours	Female	9	1.7778	1.56347			

# Table 4. Independent sample t-test with respect to gender

#### Mean 1.3000 Variables Age 19–25 Years N 20 Sig. 0.538 F 0.730 26-32 Years 28 1.1071 Pain Level After Four Hours 33-39 Years 15 1.0667 40 Years and Above 3 .0000 Total 19–25 Years 26–32 Years 66 1.1061 2.2500 2.3929 0.234 20 28 1.462 33–39 Years Pain Level After Eight Hours 1.2667 15 40 Years and Above 1.6667 3 Total 66 2.0606 19–25 Years 26–32 Years 33–39 Years 0.250 20 1.8500 1.404 28 15 2.4643 Pain Level After Twelve Hours 1.7333 2.3333 40 Years and Above 3 66 2.1061 Total 19–25 Years 20 1.5500 2.914 0.041 26-32 Years 28 1.9643 Pain Level After Twenty-Four Hours 33–39 Years 15 .8000 2.6667 40 Years and Above 3 66

# Table-5: One way ANOVA with respect to age

#### Table-6: Descriptive statistics and frequency distribution

Total

						Perc	entage re	esponse rat	e (N=	65)			
Variables	N P	LP	МР	UP	MOP	DP	DIP	UMP	IP	SP	WPP	Mean	SD
Pain Level After 4 Hours	5	6	5	6	5	21	9	8	0	0	0	4.1385	2.1204
Pain Level After 8 Hours	2	6	5	14	13	13	7	1	4	0	0	3.9385	1.9111
Pain Level After 12 Hours	0	13	5	14	13	8	10	1	1	0	0	3.5692	1.8198
Pain Level After 24 Hours	6	10	8	12	11	7	11	0	0	0	0	3.1846	1.9275
Note: NP=No Pain, LP=Low Pain, MP=Mild Pain, UP=Uncomfortable Pain, MOP=Moderate Pain, DP=Distracting Pain, DIP=Distressing													
Pain, U	JMP=	=Unma	nageabl	e Pain,	IP=Intens	e Pain,	SP=Seve	re Pain, WP	P=We	orst Pos	ssible Pain		

#### Table-7: Independent sample t-test with respect to gender

Variables	Gender	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	F	Sig.		
Dain Lovel After Four Hours	Male	61	4.1639	2.11487	0.027	.870		
rain Level Anel Four Hours	Female	4	3.7500	2.50000				
Dain Level After Fight Hours	Male	61	3.9672	1.95761	1.764	.189		
Tam Level After Eight Hours	Female	4	3.5000	1.00000				
Dain Level After Twelve Hours	Male	61	3.5574	1.87550	5.909	.018		
Talli Level Alter Twelve Hours	Female	4	3.7500	.50000				
Dain Lovel After Twenty Four Hours	Male	61	3.1967	1.99014	11.923	.001		
Tam Level Arter Twenty-Tour Hours	Female	4	3.0000	.00000				

#### Table-8: One Way ANOVA with respect to age

	•				
Variables	Age	N	Mean	F	Sig.
	19-25 Years	14	5.3571	3.932	0.012
	26-32 Years	33	3.8788		
Pain Level After Four Hours	33-39 Years	15	3.2000		
	40 Years and Above	3	6.0000		
	Total	65	4.1385		
	19-25 Years	14	4.0714	1.393	.254
	26-32 Years	33	3.8485		
Pain Lovel After Fight Hours	33-39 Years	15	3.6000		
Fain Level Anel Eight Hours	40 Years and Above	3	6.0000		
	Total	65	3.9385		
	19-25 Years	14	3.7143	.125	.945
	26-32 Years	33	3.5455		
Pain Level After Twelve Hours	33-39 Years	15	3.6000		
	40 Years and Above	3	3.0000		
	Total	65	3.5692		
	19-25 Years	14	4.0714	1.316	.277
	26-32 Years	33	3.0000		
Pain Level After Twenty-Four Hours	33-39 Years	15	2.8000		
	40 Years and Above	3	3.0000		
	Total	65	3.1846		



Figure-1: Pain after four hours after Inj Ketorolac



Figure-2: Pain after eight hours after Inj Ketorolac



Figure-3: Pain after twelve hours after Inj Ketorolac



Figure-4: Pain after twenty-four Hours after Inj Ketorolac



Figure-5: Pain after four hours after Inj Lignocaine



Figure-6: Pain after eight hours after Inj Lignocaine



Figure-7: Pain after twelve hours after Inj Lignocaine



Figure-8: Pain after twenty-four Hours after Inj Lignocaine

The postoperative analgesia was comparatively longer in the patients who received intra-articular Ketorolac as compared to another group (p=0.041,). Patients with Visual Analog score greater than 6 were given additional analgesia.

## DISCUSSION

Arthroscopic partial meniscectomy is the commonest of all the arthroscopic procedures.<sup>1,9,1</sup> It is imperative to keep the postoperative pain in perspective. Provision of adequate and safe analgesia postoperatively is hallmark of any arthroscopic surgery in Orthopaedic Surgery. Assessment of postoperative pain is quite difficult as the pain threshold varies from patient to patient. Females usually have low threshold as compared to males. So, commonly used VAS method was used to assess the postoperative pain and the effect of administered analgesic. In a comparative study Chirwa *et al* concluded that intra-articular local anaesthesia is quite effective in providing analgesia for a short period of approximately 2 hours postoperatively.<sup>11</sup> Henderson *et al* concluded no significant difference between patients treated with 75 mg Bupivacaine and those who were give saline, which according to author was due to rapid clearance of Bupivacaine from the joint and incisional pain of the arthroscopy port.<sup>12</sup> There have been reports of side effects associated with the use of Lignocaine/ Bupivacaine in literature, though not frequent, they can be potentially serious: hypersensitive reactions and urticaria<sup>13,14</sup>, neurological complications like perioral anaesthesia and sometimes leading to convulsions<sup>15</sup>.

Systemic Opiates are seldom used because of their potential of developing addiction as well as their effect on central nervous system. However, Stein et al showed that opiates receptors are present in inflamed peripheral tissues.<sup>16</sup> This paved way for opiates to be used as local analgesics. Raja et al compared the effect of morphine and Bupivacaine found no benefit in using morphine and intraarticularly.<sup>17</sup> Ruwe et al concluded that morphine given intra-articularly has no effect in postoperative arthroscopic surgery, in fact, patient who received morphine experienced more pain than other groups.<sup>18</sup> They added that the patients who had high VAS scores preoperatively also had higher VAS scores postoperatively.

Other studies have shown good analgesic effect with use of combination of analgesics. In a systemic review of all randomized trials Kalso *et al* concluded that morphine has some analgesic effect postoperatively.<sup>19</sup> A study by Jaureguito *et al* showed that analgesic effect of morphine is dose dependent, with increase of dose up to four times the normal yielded excellent results.<sup>20</sup> Reuben and Connelly observed excellent analgesia with intra-articular Ketorolac, particularly when combined with Lignocaine.<sup>5,10</sup> Gupta *et al* compared the effects of intra-articular injection of Morphine and Ketorolac, and the results were excellent when both of these were used in combination.<sup>9</sup>

## CONCLUSION

In this study, the analgesic effects of a single dose of 30mg of Ketorolac given intrarticularly were found to be good. No side effects were found. In literature, there is considerable controversy in the efficacy of using intra-articular Lignocaine and morphine, as well as the potential for serious side effects. In light of our study, we believe that injecting Ketorolac is a good alternative for the provision of effective postoperative analgesia in patients undergoing arthroscopic surgery.

Funding: No funding source Conflict of interest: None declared Ethical Approval: The study was approved by the Institutes' Ethical Committee

## **AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTION**

ASKN: Conception of study, designing, study conduction, manuscript writing. UN: Discussion, interpretation. IZ: Material analysis, critical review. MZ: Critical review, study conduction. MB: Peer review, study conduction. AA: Critical review, material analysis

### REFERENCES

- Ng HP, Nordström U, Axelsson K, Perniola AD, Gustav E, Ryttberg L, et al. Efficacy of intra-articular bupivacaine, ropivacaine, or a combination of ropivacaine, morphine, and ketorolac on postoperative pain relief after ambulatory arthroscopic knee surgery: a randomized double-blind study. Reg Anesth Pain Med 2005;31(1):26–33.
- Vane JR. Mode of action of aspirin and similar compounds. New York, Raven Press: 1974; p.155–63.
- Møiniche S, Mikkelsen S, Wetterslev J, Dahl JB. A systematic review of intra-articular local anesthesia for postoperative pain relief after arthroscopic knee surgery. Reg Anesth Pain Med 1999;24(5):430–7.
- Gupta A, Bodin L, Holmström B, Berggren L. A systematic review of the peripheral analgesic effects of intraarticular morphine. Anesth Analg 2001;93(3):761–70.
- Reuben SS, Connelly NR. Postoperative analgesia for outpatient arthroscopic knee sugery with intraarticular bupivacaine and ketorolac. Anesth Analg 1995;80(6):1154–7.
- Higgs GA, Eakins KE, Moncada S, Vane JR. Arachidonic acid metabolism in inflammation and the mode of action of antiinflammatory drugs. Agents Actions Suppl 1979(6):167–75.
- Higgs GA, Vane JR, Hart FD, Wojtulewski JA. Effects of anti-inflammatory drugs on prostaglandins in rheumatoid arthritis. New York, Raven Press: 1974; p.165–73.
- Reuben Ss Fau Connelly NR, Connelly NR. Local analgesia effect of nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs. Anesth Analhg 1997;84(5):1168–9.

- Gupta A, Axelsson K, Allvin R, Liszka-Hackzell J, Rawal N, Althoff B, *et al.* Postoperative pain following knee arthroscopy: the effects of intra-articular ketorolac and/or morphine. Reg Anesth Pain Med 1999;24(3):225–30.
- Reuben SS, Connelly NR. Postarthroscopic meniscus repair analgesia with intraarticular ketorolac or morphine. (0003-2999 (Print)).
- Chirwa SS, MacLeod BA, Day B. Intraarticular bupivacaine (Marcaine) after arthroscopic meniscectomy: a randomized double-blind controlled study. Arthroscopy 1989;5(1):33–5.
- Henderson RC, Campion ER, DeMasi RA, Taft TN. Postarthroscopy analgesia with bupivacaine. A prospective, randomized, blinded evaluation. Am J Sports Med 1990;18(6):614–7.
- 13. Craft DV, Good RP. Delayed hypersensitivity reaction of the knee after injection of arthroscopy portals with bupivacaine (marcaine). Arthroscopy 1994;10(3):305–8.
- Hasselstrøm LJ, Mogensen T. Toxic reaction of bupivacaine at low plasma concentration. Anesthesiology 1984;61(1):99–100.
- 15. Adverse reactions with bupivacaine. FDA Drug Bull 1983;13(3):23.
- Stein C, Hassan AH, Lehrberger K, Giefing J, Yassouridis A. Local analgesic effect of endogenous opioid peptides. Lancet 1993;342(8867):321–4.
- Raja SN, Dickstein RE, Johnson CA. Comparison of postoperative analgesic effects of intraarticular bupivacaine and morphine following arthroscopic knee surgery. Anesthesiology 1992;77(6):1143–7.
- Ruwe PA, Klein I, Shields CL. The effect of intraarticular injection of morphine and bupivacaine on postarthroscopic pain control. Am J Sport Med 1995;23(1):59–64.
- Kalso E, Tramèr MR, Carroll D, McQuay HJ, Moore RA. Pain relief from intra-articular morphine after knee surgery: a qualitative systematic review. Pain 1997;71(2):127–34.
- Jaureguito JW, Wilcox JF, Cohn SJ, Thisted RA, Reider B. A comparison of intraarticular morphine and bupivacaine for pain control after outpatient knee arthroscopy. A prospective, randomized, double-blinded study. Am J Sport Med 1995;23(3):350–3.

Submitted: September 21, 2020 Revised: Accepted: February 14, 2021			
	Submitted: September 21, 2020	Revised:	Accepted: February 14, 2021

#### Address for Correspondence:

Auon Shabbir Khan Niazi, Combined Military Hospital, Rawalpindi-Pakistan Email: auonskn@hotmail.com